#### REMARKS

#### Claim Status

Claims 39-61 are pending in the application.

## **Claim Amendments:**

Independent claims 39 and 48 have been amended, without adding any new matter. In particular, language of claim 42 has been added to these independent claims. The dependency of claim 57 has been corrected.

### Objected/Allowable Claims:

Claims 40-42, 49-51 and 53-56 stand objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claim, but allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 39, 43, 48, 52 and 57-60 were rejected. It was noted that the Office Action was silent with regards to claims 44-47 and 61. The undersigned requested clarification from the Examiner in a phone message on 1/6/2006. It was indicated by the Examiner, in a reply phone message to undersigned on 1/9/2006, that claims 44-47 and 61 should stand allowable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC paragraph 112, second paragraph

1. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 USC paragraph 112, second paragraph.

In reply, the Applicant has cancelled claim 47. Accordingly, the rejection of claim 47 is moot.

2. Claim 57 is rejected under 35 USC paragraph 112, second paragraph.

In reply, the Applicant has amended claim 57 and corrected its dependency. Accordingly, the rejection of claim 57 is moot.

# Claim Rejections – 35 USC paragraph 102(e)

1. Claims 39, 43 and 57-59 are rejected under 35 USC paragraph 102(e) as being anticipated by *Iezzi* et al. (US Patent 6,668,190).

In reply, the Applicant has amended independent claims 39 and 48 by adding the language from dependent claim 42. Claim 42 was objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claim, but allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, independent claims 39 and 48 should be allowable. Claims 43 and 57-59 are dependent of independent claim 39.

## Claim Rejections – 35 USC paragraph 103(a)

1. Claims 48, 52 and 60 are rejected under 35 USC paragraph 103(a) as being unpatentable over *lezzi* et al. (US Patent 6,668,190) in view of *Mallapragada* et al. (US Patent 6,676,675).

In reply, the Applicant has amended independent claim 48 by adding the language from dependent claim 42. Claim 42 was objected to as dependent upon a rejected base claim, but allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, independent claim 48 should be allowable. Claims 52 and 60 are dependent of independent claim 48.

### CONCLUSION

The Applicant hereby submits a bona fide attempt to address the rejections raised in the Office Action and to place all the claims in the application in a condition of allowance. Accordingly, allowance of the claims now in the application is kindly requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Ron Jacobs, Reg. No. 50,142

LUMEN Intellectual Property Services 2345 Yale Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Palo Alto, CA 94306-1429 Phone: (650) 424-0100